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Dear Miss Terbell: I am not sure whether the theme of this chapter is "Peopling the Nation" or "Peopling the Countryside." If it is the former (as I think you intend), I hope you will include much more material on immigration. My volume leaves the subject in the larger aspects to you. I hope you will give the total number of immigrants at different years and show that the older tide from Western Europe was giving way to a newer one from South and Eastern Europe. (Such books as R.L. Daries, Immigration Restrictions, and H.P. Fairchild, Immigration, are good on this subject.) As your chapter stands at present, one gets the impression that most of the immigrants avoided the cities for the farming regions, and that this held true throughout the period. (The books referred to also discuss the various laws to regulate and restrict immigration from Europe.)

May I make one other suggestion? Since the central theme of your volume is "nationalization," you might well close your chapter by showing how the Great West was organized into states until there was a continuous line of them stretching from coast to coast. By 1848 all the states had been admitted into the Union but Oregon, W. Mexico and Utah. (You can find the admission dates conveniently in the World Almanac.)

A. M. S.

If you choose to reduce the size of the chapter, the material on Florida might be condensed.

Later: in many ways it would seem more logical to have the chapter on "Peopling the Nation" come earlier in the volume, perhaps following Chap. I. This would clear the way for a more thorough presentation of the various fields of farming, agriculture, labor.
Dear Mrs. Tarbell:

In your discussion of the underlying economic causes of agrarian discontent, you have omitted two factors which I think should be included: (1) high interest rates on borrowed money; and (2) the exactions of the middlemen. A discussion of the latter would help your readers to understand why the Alliance undertook cooperative enterprises. The former helps to explain the subtreasury scheme.

I think you should make a clearer distinction between the plight of the Southern farmers and that of the Northern farmers. Some of the difficulties of each group were peculiar to the particular section and the type of agriculture. Thus, the crop-lifter system was special to the South; thus, also, the conflict between the South between the white masses and the black race and the survival of the old landed gentry.

This Southern background is briefly alluded to in the book, "The Rise of the City," by the same author. Brief references are also made to the "Populist Movement," which is given a detailed treatment.

In chapter as a whole gives the impression that the Alliance movement in its early stages was wholly a Southern affair. It would be desirable to parallel your account of Southern developments with a similar account of Northern developments. Hicks (pages 17-18) gives the essential facts, beginning with the formation of the Northern Farmers' Alliance in 1877. Most students have regarded the Alliance movement in the West as more important than that in the South. As you make clear, the dynamic leader came from Kansas and Minnesota.
Chapter VII

Miss Tarbell:

There are two omissions in this chapter which you can easily rectify:

1. You fail to state clearly the outcome of the eight-hour movement in 1886. The discussion is left somewhat hanging in the air. The exact results are summarized in J. R. Commons, History of Labour in the U.S., II, 384-385. Commons shows that nearly 200,000 men obtained shorter hours.

2. In your discussion of the Knights of Labor you say nothing about their cooperative enterprises. Commons treats this phase in Vol. II, 430-438. Your readers will be interested because you have already discussed the cooperative activities of the Farmers' Alliance.

There is perhaps some needless duplication between your treatment of the origins of the Knights and Prof.润才的 discussion (p. 393-394).

A. M. S.
Dear Miss Tarbell:

I wonder if you would be willing to reconsider the material in this chapter in its relation to the rest of the book. A long (and interesting) account of the steel industry and the shorter accounts of the sugar and other trusts would seem to follow logically after Chap. III, "The Industrial Trust." I think the tariff discussion might be separated from the story of economic development in somewhat the way you do in The Tariffs in Our Times, and be given a chapter to itself. You could salvage the treatment by carrying the story thru' the McKinley Tariff of 1890.

At present, the tariff is left hanging in the air. Another reason for including the McKinley Act in Chap. VI is p. 293-294, you allude to it elsewhere; you haven't yet told about its having been passed. I think you also give an account of the Wilson-Turner Act in that Chap. It without having quit told your readers about the McKinley Act I think. As the McKinley bill is in Chap. XI, pp. 333-334, where I think it serves no particularly useful purpose.

A.M.S.
Dear Miss Tarbell:

It seems to me that your account of the iron trust, and your account of the wheat trust prior to the investigation of 1883, should come earlier in the book. It might be well included in the chapter dealing with the steel monopoly etc., which (as I have suggested in my account on Chap. III) should follow Chapter III.

In regard to the tariff of 1894, I think that you should give a fuller statement of the provisions made in your first mention of it. An especially interesting feature of the new law was the provision for an excess tax. If you have some matter on later, it might be treated to here only briefly. (Later: I have found your fuller treatment in Chap. XI, pp. 334-336. I still think it would be better to have one treatment of the matter instead of two. It is a little confusing to have a partial statement first, and followed by a more complete discussion.)
Chapter III

The interesting and novel theme of this chapter is weakened, I think, by the long account of the history of electric traction before 1896. Could not this part of the story be worked into an earlier chapter, perhaps Chapter IV?

Ann.
Dear Mr. Tarbell:

I think the presentation of your material would be very much more effective if the first salient discussion in Chapter XIII be kept to itself and not be introduced in Chapter XII.

This would allow you to devote the new Chapter XIII to the recuperative economic forces of the 1890s. The new chapter would include what you now have in the Chapter XII and, in addition, your account of the Dingley Tariff, the later Trust development and the Spanish-American War. This would give the treatment real unity and give the narrative part of your volume an impression concluding character.

In your discussion of the silver movement, you need to say something about the subsidence of the demand after 1896. The best statement of the reasons that I know is in the brief statement in L. M. Hacker's and B. B. Kendrick, The United States since 1865, 318-320.

If I may add one further comment, I wondered a bit at your inclusion of Flint's relationship to Trust development at this late place in your book. Might it not come better earlier, perhaps in Chapter XII?

A. M. S.

Note that in Chap. XIV, 436-437, you discuss the status of the farmer after 1896. You do not, however, touch upon the very interesting reasons which Hacker and Kendrick give for the defection of the silver movement, and which I think at viii in The Rise of the City, 427-438, would cross-reference to your volume.

P. 423 of War will be found but a more substantiated element in securing Wall Street's interest in 1892 & 98 of the cheap money at this stage of our period, etc.

Dr. Carr, XCV, 304, 29