

1936-04-30

## Notes: Nationalizing of Business. Page marked page 2

Tarbell, Ida M.

---

<http://hdl.handle.net/10456/37497>

---

©Allegheny College. All rights reserved.

*All materials in the Allegheny College DSpace Repository are subject to college policies and Title 17 of the U.S. Code.*

On this page                      useful for its references.

In this growth of utilities the trend towards nationalism is strong, that is, these groups of men through their financing, abrupt as it was, were bringing the interest of the cities together. It was through their organization that better and better operation and a higher grade of men to cope with the difficulties was developed than private operations might have secured. Not sure about this. The case of Mitten should be cited. Sees possibility in Milwaukee, called from there to Buffalo, Buffalo to Chicago, ~~Chicago~~ to Philadelphia. He is a powerful individual with very definite notions, particularly of the relation of labor <sup>to capital</sup> ~~and~~ under another system more local <sup>you</sup> ~~you~~ would have had a man like him transferred in the way that he was? The point seems to have been that the financiers found that in order to save their property they had got to meet <sup>and</sup> public criticisms, /public demands and the rare man who could <sup>and capital</sup> handle abuses like those of labor, harmonize, was an asset, an essential to saving their property. No love I take it on part of Whitney and Company for the principal of co-operation but for the sake of their investments, keeping it out of a summary confiscation by the public in the interest of the people.