This argument is not likely to send many suffragists scurrying back to their kitchens. Women know as well as Dr. Parkhurst that votes do not settle everything, but they also know that they have shed rivers of blood to gain the ballot and have fought out the issue on a hundred fields of battle. It mankind has found the right to vote worth dying for, thousands of women will continue to assume that it is still worth asking for.

Dr. Parkhurst misses the whole point of the present-day women-suffrage movement when he ignores its economic aspects. The suffragist cause was merely an academic question until a great army of women wage-earners had been recruited. It became part of a struggle for existence, and the rapid increase in strength during the last ten years is due more than anything else to economic independence of women.

Hundreds of thousands of women now support themselves. They have learned a great deal by experience, and they laugh when Dr. Parkhurst or any body else tells them that "woman will get all she wants if she is woman in her way of getting them." They know better. They know that they do not get equal pay with men for doing men's work. They know that the treatment accorded to them is not as just as that accorded to men. They know they are discriminated against in various ways, and that instead of profiting economically in the fact of being women they are at a disadvantage.

Some have argued to keep their economic liabilities back to their political disabilities and to remove the one by removing the other. It may be wrong. The New York school-teachers, for example, who insist that they would receive better pay and a good vote, as position, may be yet convinced that voting victory be won and who be then at an advantage, if not. If Dr. Parkhurst's suffrage prophecy is even that voting the matter of en}
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