1st draft

TWIN OAKES
BETHEL, CONN.
R. F. D. NO. 3
TELEPHONE TRUMBULL 5 RING 4

Aug 2 1934

Dear Mrs Burns: - Of course Mr Baker could not put his name to the article as it stood. I did not think of that. Did you? As I understood it I was to work out an argument which presented the case as I saw it - hand it over to him for criticism & strengthening and then I was to de-personalize it, and resubmit it. That was the procedure I had in mind. I am sorry I did not make myself clear. But I thought that was settled in the talk you had with Mr Baker.

Of course I do not object to the revision you made. The paragraphs Mr Baker wrote make a fine strong ending. Much better than mine which was weak and feminine! There are still certain passages in the article as it comes

Digital Image, 2009. The Ida M. Tarbell Collection, 1890-1944, Allegheny College Pelletier Library

TWIN OAKES
BETHEL, CONN.
R. F. D. NO. 3
TELEPHONE TRUMBULL 5 RING 4

from you which might be called "feminine" that little slap at the C.W.A. - page 6. last 2 lines, p. 7. first 3 - sound either a prunbred woman! I was. Better cut them.

Then I believe the article will be straightened by cutting from bottom of page 7 - last two lines to middle of 8. as marked on copy I am returning. That takes out the explanation of "Love they neighbor" - which I don't believe is the time one. That makes the song popular is the sound up - which makes the neighbor you are to see strength! (your sweetheart) Am I not right? However I don't object if Mr Baker does not. I rather suspect he would think that "feminine"!

I am sorry you are leaving so much water