Anti-Trust Law:

Fish Sulp Plan

At Philadelphia at a meeting of the American

Academy of Political and Social Science and the Taylor Society

Gilbert Montague said the following in regard to Anti-Trust

laws:

"In interpreting and applying the anti-trust laws," he declared, "the opportunity for improvement seems to lie chiefly in the direction of trying to improve the judicialhearing method as opposed to long drawn-out court action.

"The judicial-hearing method makes decisions under the act less dilatory, less time-consuming, less drawn-out and less expensive.

"For a mistake under the anti-trust laws, business men now run the risk of suits for triple damages by their customers, competitors and other affected trade interests, and prosecutions by the Federal Trade Commission or the Department of Justice or both, and loss of money and time and litigration and perhaps business dismemberment, fine and imprisonment.

"No laws on the statute books today carry so many or such drastic penalties as the anti-trust laws, or raise so many or such difficult and baffling questions, calling for intensive and protracted investigations of sharply disputed facts, and hair-line balancing of strongly conflicting considerations of public policy.

"To business men these questions spell on exasperating delay, time consuming inquiries, long drawn-out assembling and marshaling of facts, highly debatable and keenly contested issues of public policy, and large expense in lawyers' fees and in executives' time.

It looks to me as if about the only salvation we have had from the hurry of business men to do things - mergers - mechanization, etc., etc., came from the court.

They have held back what was already being over-done, damning the interest of financial and technological unemployment or rather with the result done in the interest or the hope of

making great amounts of money for banks and promoters. This should be, or could be develop ed, in the proposed talk on mergers.

P. Seidemann Tells Academy That Veteran Fund Cuts and Bureau Mergers Are Needed.

HIGHER INCOME TAX URGED

D. C. Coyle Says at Philadelphia Session That Funds Must Be Raised for Public Works.

ANTI-TRUST LAW ATTACKED

J. H. Williams Cites Stifling of Business-Gilbert H. Montague Scores Delay in Decisions.

Special to THE NEW YORK TIMES PHILADELPHIA, Nov. 12.-Reduction of Federal expenditures and discovery of why the capitalistic system "is not working" were two jobs delegated to the Roosevelt administration by speakers at concluding sessions today of a post-election stock-taking conference, sponsored by the American Academy of Politigal and Social Science and the Taylor Society.

How far the new administration should go in attempting further to regulate business or in modifying existing anti-trust laws, brought a sharp division of opinion, however.

J. Harvey Williams, a New York manufacturer, argued that legitimate business was being ruined by "cutthroat competition" made possible by anti-trust restrictions against co-operative organizations in business and industry, but Dr. Frank A. Fetter, Professor of Political Economy at Princeton University, replied that

ousiness dismemberment, fine and imprisonment.

"No laws on the statute books to-day carry so many or such drastic penalties as the anti-trust laws, or raise so many or such difficult and baffling questions, calling for intensive and protracted investigations of sharply disputed facts, and hair-line balancing of strongly conflicting considerations of public policy.

"To business men these questions spell on exasperating delay, time consuming inquiries, long drawn-out assembling and marshaling of facts, highly debatable and keenly contested issues of public policy, and large expense in lawyers' fees and in executives' time."

